

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,540	/790,540 03/01/2004		Hanson S. Gifford III	HRT-0256C2 2779	
27777	7590	09/29/2008		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON					
		HNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUI	NSWICK,	NJ 08933-7003			

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
lotifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/790,540	GIFFORD ET AL.			
•	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Comstock	3733			
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>15 September 2008</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.						
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🛚	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🖾	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 🗀	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evident other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	appeal, along with a			
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	The summary of claimed subject matter fails to identify and separately refer each independent claim (21 and 39) to the specification by page and line number.  The status of claims section fails to provide the status of all claims filed in the application.  In the argument section each grounds of rejection heading must correspond with those headings in section VI of the brief. Any claims argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number.					
		/Timothy Cole/ T.Cole Patent Appeal Specialist				